MUNIPAY USER'S MANUAL

Multimedia Enforcement Division (2248-A)
Office of Regulatory Enforcement
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
United States Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

May 1998

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document was prepared under the technical direction of Jonathan Libber, BEN/ABEL Coordinator, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), with additional input provided by Leo J. Mullin, while on detail to the Policy and Program Evaluation Division, Office of Site Remediation Enforcement, U.S. EPA. Technical assistance was provided to EPA by Industrial Economics, Incorporated (IEc) of Cambridge, Massachusetts under EPA Contract No. 68-W4-0041.

i <u>May 1998</u>

MAILING LIST ADDITION FORM

If you would like to receive updated materials, and you work for a Federal, State or local government environmental agency, please fill out this form and send it to the address below. If you are a member of the public and would like to obtain these materials, contact the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) at (800) 553-6847, or download them from U.S. EPA's web site at http://www.epa.gov/oeca/datasys/dsm2.html.

| PHON | E NUMBER: |
|--------|---|
| Please | mail the completed form to: |
| | • |
| | Jonathan Libber (2248-A) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, SW Washington, DC 20460 |

Or, preferably, e-mail the requested information to:

libber.jonathan@epamail.epa.gov

NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS:

ii <u>May 1998</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTR | ODUC' | TION . | CHAPTER 1 |
|-------|-------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| | A. | OVER | VIEW 1-1 |
| | B. | HOW | TO USE THE MANUAL |
| USING | G THE | COME | PUTER PROGRAM CHAPTER 2 |
| | A. | STRU | CTURE OF THE PROGRAM |
| | | 1. | Overview of the Model |
| | | 2. | Data Requirements |
| | | 3. | Overview of Computer Program |
| | B. | GETT | ING STARTED |
| | | 1. | National LAN |
| | | 2. | Stand-Alone PC |
| | C. | MODI | EL ATTRIBUTES 2-13 |
| | | 1. | General Features of the Model Screens |
| | | 2. | Format of the Data Entries |
| | | 3. | Help System |
| | | 4. | Correcting Typing Errors |
| | | 5. | Error Messages |
| | | 6. | Ending Procedures |
| | | 7. | Printing Options |

| SELECTING | AND CONSTRUCTING CASES | CHAPTER 3 |
|-----------|---|---|
| A. | CASE MANAGEMENT OPTIONS | 3-2 |
| | 1. New | 3-3 |
| | 2. Edit | 3-3 |
| | 3. Copy | 3-3 |
| | 4. Export | 3-3 |
| | 5. Delete | 3-4 |
| В. | CASE DESCRIPTION DETAILS | 3-4 |
| | 1. Entity Name | 3-5 |
| | 2. State Location | 3-5 |
| | 3. Entity Type | 3-5 |
| | b. Countyc. Municipality with Enterprise F | 3-5 3-6 Fund 3-6 aed Utility 3-6 |
| C. | ENTERING AND EDITING U.S. CENSUS | DEMOGRAPHIC DATA 3-7 |
| D. | ENTERING AND EDITING FINANCIAL D | ATA |
| RUNNING D | EMOGRAPHIC AND AFFORDABILITY | ANALYSES CHAPTER 4 |
| A. | DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS | 4-2 |
| | 1. Population | 4-4 |
| | 2. Population Below 18 | |

| | | 3. | Population of and Above |) |
|------|-------|--------|--|---|
| | | 4. | Percent of Individuals Below 125% of Poverty | 5 |
| | | 5. | Median Home Value | 5 |
| | | 6. | Median Household Income 4-6 | 6 |
| | B. | AFFO | RDABILITY ANALYSIS 4-6 | 6 |
| | | 1. | Run Creation | 7 |
| | | | a. Run Description | 2 |
| | | 2. | Viewing and Interpreting Results | 8 |
| | | | a. Affordability Analysis Summary | 0 |
| METH | IODOI | LOGY A | AND DETAILED CALCULATIONS APPENDIX A | 4 |
| | A. | INTRO | DDUCTION | 1 |
| | B. | DEMC | OGRAPHIC ANALYSIS A-1 | 1 |
| | | 1. | Municipality as of 1990: Calculations A-3 | 3 |
| | | 2. | Municipality's Change since 1980: Calculations | 4 |
| | C. | AFFOI | RDABILITY ANALYSIS A-5 | 5 |
| | | 1. | Currently Available Funds | 5 |
| | | | a. General Fund | 7 |
| | | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

| | | b. | Enterprise Fund A-8 |
|----------------|--------|----------|--|
| | 2. | Funds | s Available through Financing |
| | | a. b. | General Obligation Debt |
| GLOSSARY | OF T | ERMS . | AND BIBLIOGRAPHY APPENDIX B |
| DATA REQ | UEST 1 | FORM | IS APPENDIX C |
| A. | U.S. 0 | CENSU | JS DATA |
| В. | FINA | NCIAL | L DATA FOR CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE, OR COUNTY |
| C. | WITH | I RELE | L DATA FOR MUNICIPALITY EVANT ENTERPRISE FUND; ENDENT AND PUBLICLY OWNED UTILITY |
| EXAMPLE | FOR C | ITY / T | TOWN / VILLAGE APPENDIX D |
| EXAMPLE | FOR M | IUNICI | IPALITY WITH ENTERPRISE FUND APPENDIX E |

LIST OF EXHIBITS

| 2-1 | Introductory Welcome Screen |
|------|--|
| 2-2 | Munipay Installation - First Screen |
| 2-3 | Munipay Installation - Second Screen |
| 2-4 | Munipay Installation - Third Screen |
| 2-5 | Munipay Installation - Fourth Screen |
| 2-6 | Munipay Installation - Fifth Screen |
| 2-7 | Error Message for Missing Data |
| 2-8 | Prompt for Saving Changes to a Case |
| 2-9 | Prompt for Saving Changes to a Run |
| 2-10 | Prompt for Canceling Changes |
| 3-1 | Main Screen |
| 3-2 | Case Description Details Screen |
| 3-3 | U.S. Census Data Entry and Edit Screen |
| 3-4 | Financial Data Entry and Edit Screen for City, Town, Village, or County 3-9 |
| 3-5 | Financial Data Entry and Edit Screen for |
| | Municipality with Relevant Enterprise Fund |
| 3-6 | Financial Data Entry and Edit Screen for |
| | Independent and Publicly Owned Utility |
| 4-1 | Main Screen |
| 4-2 | Demographic Analysis |
| 4-3 | Run Description |
| 4-4 | Run Parameters for City, Town, Village, or County |
| 4-5 | Run Parameters for Municipality with Enterprise Fund |
| 4-6 | Affordability Analysis Summary 4-29 |
| 4-7 | Currently Available Funds Calculation for City, Town, Village, or County 4-31 |
| 4-8 | Currently Available Funds Calculation for Municipality with Enterprise Fund 4-32 |
| 4-9 | Compliance Debt Funding Details for City, Town, Village, or County 4-33 |
| 4-10 | Compliance Debt Funding Details for Municipality with |
| | Enterprise Fund or Independent and Publicly Owned Utility |
| 4-11 | Superfund Debt Financing Details for City, Town, Village, or County 4-36 |
| 4-12 | Penalty Payment Schedule Details for City, Town, Village, or County 4-37 |
| 4-13 | Superfund Debt Financing Details for Municipality with |
| | Enterprise Fund or for Independent and Publicly Owned Utility 4-38 |

vii <u>May 1998</u>

LIST OF EXHIBITS (continued)

| 4-14 | Penalty Payment Schedule Details for Municipality with |
|------|--|
| | Enterprise Fund or for Independent and Publicly Owned Utility 4-39 |
| A-1 | Demographic Input Variables |
| A-2 | Currently Available Funds Input Variables |
| A-3 | Currently Available Funds Outputs: General Fund |
| A-4 | Currently Available Funds Outputs: Enterprise Fund or Utility |
| A-5 | Parameters for Affordability Analysis: General Obligation Debt |
| A-6 | Input Variables for Affordability Analysis: General Obligation Debt A-13 |
| A-7 | Affordability Analysis Preliminary Calculation Variables: |
| | General Obligation Debt A-14 |
| A-8 | Parameters for Affordability Analysis: Revenue Debt A-21 |
| A-9 | Input Variables for Affordability Analysis: Revenue Debt |

viii <u>May 1998</u>

INTRODUCTION CHAPTER 1

A. OVERVIEW

In environmental enforcement cases, the defendant/respondent may claim an inability to afford compliance costs, a Superfund cleanup contribution, and/or a penalty that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) seeks (hereafter called "environmental expenditures"). The ABEL model has been available to enforcement staff since the 1980s to evaluate the financial health of corporations. Until this time, however, a corresponding tool to evaluate the financial status of municipalities was not available. The Municipal Ability to Pay ("MUNIPAY") Model fills this gap.¹

The MUNIPAY Model evaluates the economic and financial condition of municipalities. This includes towns, villages, cities, and counties of any size, and even independent and publicly owned utilities (e.g., regional wastewater treatment plants). MUNIPAY provides a consistent and theoretically sound framework for evaluating municipal affordability cases. MUNIPAY performs two separate sets of analyses: a demographic comparison, and an affordability calculation.

1-1 <u>May 1998</u>

¹ For assessing individuals' ability to pay, the INDIPAY model is now available.

The demographic analysis uses U.S. Census data from 1980 and 1990 to compare the municipality to state and national norms. The comparison includes indicators for both the community's population and income. The analysis also shows how the municipality's position has changed over time, both relative to itself and relative to changes in the national norms. The demographic analysis does not give the user a specific conclusion on the municipality's demographics, but instead provides a better understanding of long-term changes in the community's resource base.

The affordability analysis involves calculations for the amount of currently available funds and then, if necessary, the amount of funds available through financing. The currently available funds calculation looks for any excess monies in the municipality's "General Fund" balance and, if applicable to the case, its "Enterprise Fund" working capital balance. If currently available funds are not sufficient to pay for the environmental expenditures, the affordability analysis then assesses the municipality's current debt burden and its ability to take on additional debt to finance the environmental expenditures. Both sets of calculations have a solid grounding in the academic and professional literature of fiscal management and public finance.

Despite MUNIPAY's ability to provide a point estimate of the municipality's level of affordable expenditures, municipal affordability cases still require the user's best professional judgment. MUNIPAY does contain default values for certain parameters such as the maximum incremental tax burden from the environmental expenditures, but the user must still decide whether those default values are appropriate for the particular case. The model can help with these judgments, but final determination of the municipality's affordability ultimately is a decision only the enforcement professional can make.

Finally, although MUNIPAY is a sophisticated screening tool that can greatly assist enforcement professionals in evaluating municipal affordability claims, MUNIPAY by itself is not appropriate for use at a trial or in an administrative hearing. Rather, it is principally for use in

1-2 <u>May 1998</u>

settlement negotiations. If affordability testimony is to be presented at trial or in an administrate hearing, an expert should provide an independent financial analysis.²

B. HOW TO USE THE MANUAL

This manual provides instructions for using the MUNIPAY Model. These instructions illustrate the model by using a hypothetical municipality as an example and show a typical model run step-by-step.

Chapter 2 describes how to use MUNIPAY. Chapter 3 defines each input you will need to evaluate a municipality's ability to pay. Chapter 4 describes the results and output from the model and explains how to change input values for subsequent runs.

Help information is available in the program if you need a variable defined, guidance on information sources, or help with the format required for an input entry. To access help, simply click on the "Help" button at the base of each screen or press the F1 key. If you need assistance in operating the program or understanding the results, please contact the U.S. EPA enforcement economics toll-free hotline at (888) ECON-SPT (326-6778) or benabel@indecon.com. If you need legal or policy guidance, please contact Jonathan Libber, the BEN/ABEL Coordinator at (202) 564-6011, or e-mail him at libber.jonathan@epamail.epa.gov.

1-3 <u>May 1998</u>

² For assistance with the selection of an expert on financial economics analysis, enforcement staff should contact Jonathan Libber, the U.S. EPA BEN/ABEL coordinator, at (202) 564-6011 or libber.jonathan@epamail.epa.gov.